

Section 1

Number of questionnaires returned: 25 (32.89%)

General questions

1. What percent of the lectures did you attend?



0%: 1 (4.17 %)


25%: 1 (4.17 %)

50%: 3 (12.50 %)

75%: 5 (20.83 %)

100%: 14 (58.33 %)

2. How many hours per week (excluding contact hours) did you, on average, spend on self-study (e.g. study of literature, working out the exercises, doing assignments, etc.) for this course?



< 4: 0 (0.00 %)


4-5: 4 (16.67 %)

6-7: 6 (25.00 %)

8-9: 8 (33.33 %)

> 10: 6 (25.00 %)

3. The lecturer(s) informed us about the workload (i.e. number of self-study hours p/week)




Yes: 12 (54.55 %)

No: 0 (0.00 %)

Unsure: 10 (45.45 %)

4. Considering the number of credits (ECTS) assigned to the course, the workload was appropriate



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (12.00 %)

Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)


Agree: 10 (40.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.00 %)

Mean:	3.3	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	3	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Connection to the programme

5. I believe I had enough prior knowledge to successfully participate in the course



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.00 %)

Agree: 16 (64.00 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Mean:	4.0	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

6. The connection of this course to the rest of the programme is clear to me



Strongly disagree: 3 (12.50 %)

Disagree: 4 (16.67 %)

Neutral: 2 (8.33 %)


Agree: 9 (37.50 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (25.00 %)

Mean:	3.5	Std:	1.4	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.9
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Course structure

7. The learning outcomes (i.e. learning goals or objectives) of the course were clearly articulated



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.00 %)

Neutral: 4 (16.00 %)


Agree: 11 (44.00 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (36.00 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
--------------	------------	-------------	-----	-----------	----	-------------------	---

Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5
---------	---	--------	---	------	---	------	---

8. Expectations for student learning were made clear



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.00 %)


Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)

Agree: 11 (44.00 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

9. The grading practices were clearly defined



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.83 %)

Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)


Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.83 %)

Mean:	3.7	Std:	1.0	N:	24	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

Lecturer: M. Dumontier

10. The lecturer effectively explained course concepts



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)

Agree: 13 (56.52 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (26.09 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.7	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5

11. The lecturer has a good pace during the lectures



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 5 (21.74 %)

Agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Strongly agree: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.7	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5


12. The lecturer encouraged student questions and participation



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)
 Disagree: 2 (8.70 %)
 Neutral: 6 (26.09 %)
 Agree: 7 (30.43 %)
 Strongly agree: 8 (34.78 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	2	Max:	5


13. The lecturer was helpful when I had difficulties or questions



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)
 Disagree: 2 (11.76 %)
 Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)
 Agree: 7 (41.18 %)
 Strongly agree: 5 (29.41 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

14. Please rate the overall performance of the lecturer




Very bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 6 (26.09 %)
 Good: 10 (43.48 %)
 Very good: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	4.0	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-

Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5
---------	---	--------	---	------	---	------	---

Lecturer: K. Moodley

15. The lecturer effectively explained course concepts



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.35 %)


Neutral: 1 (4.35 %)

Agree: 10 (43.48 %)

Strongly agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Mean:	4.3	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	2	Max:	5

16. The lecturer has a good pace during the lectures



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (13.04 %)


Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)

Agree: 9 (39.13 %)

Strongly agree: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

17. The lecturer encouraged student questions and participation



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.35 %)


Neutral: 2 (8.70 %)

Agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (39.13 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

18. The lecturer was helpful when I had difficulties or questions



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (5.00 %)


Neutral: 2 (10.00 %)

Agree: 9 (45.00 %)

Strongly agree: 8 (40.00 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	20	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

19. Please rate the overall performance of the lecturer




Very bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 5 (21.74 %)
 Good: 8 (34.78 %)
 Very good: 10 (43.48 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	3	Max:	5

Labs (or exercise sessions / tutorials / practicals) (if applicable)


20. The labs helped me to better understand the course content



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.35 %)
 Disagree: 2 (8.70 %)
 Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)
 Agree: 8 (34.78 %)
 Strongly agree: 8 (34.78 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.1	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	1	Max:	5

21. Please rate the overall quality of the labs




Very bad: 1 (4.55 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 6 (27.27 %)
 Good: 11 (50.00 %)
 Very good: 4 (18.18 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	0.9	N:	22	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Teaching assistant(s) (if applicable)

22. Overall, the TA('s) was/were effective in fulfilling their role (e.g. teaching, answering questions, providing help and feedback)



Strongly disagree: 1 (5.88 %)

Disagree: 1 (5.88 %)


Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)

Agree: 10 (58.82 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (11.76 %)

Mean:	3.6	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

23. Please rate the overall performance of the TA('s)



Very bad: 1 (5.88 %)

Bad: 1 (5.88 %)

Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)


Good: 10 (58.82 %)

Very good: 2 (11.76 %)

Mean:	3.6	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Assignment(s) (if applicable)

24. The assignments were helpful for my learning



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 2 (8.33 %)


Neutral: 2 (8.33 %)

Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (37.50 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.9	N:	24	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

25. The feedback on the assignment(s) made it clear to me what the strong and weak points of my work were



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.17 %)

Disagree: 2 (8.33 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)

Agree: 14 (58.33 %)

Strongly agree: 4 (16.67 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	1.0	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.4
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

26. The assignment(s) were feasible within the given time frame



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.17 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Neutral: 6 (25.00 %)


Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (25.00 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	0.9	N:	24	Mean 2019:	4.4
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Final assessment

27. The exam covers the subject matter of this course



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (12.00 %)


Neutral: 0 (0.00 %)

Agree: 16 (64.00 %)

Strongly agree: 4 (16.00 %)

Mean:	3.7	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	4.1
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

28. The exam was/were feasible within the given time frame



Strongly disagree: 5 (20.00 %)

Disagree: 9 (36.00 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.00 %)

Agree: 7 (28.00 %)

Strongly agree: 1 (4.00 %)

Mean:	2.6	Std:	1.2	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	2	Mode.:	2	Min:	1	Max:	5

29. In general, the level of difficulty in this exam was appropriate



Strongly disagree: 6 (24.00 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.00 %)

Neutral: 7 (28.00 %)


Agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.00 %)

Mean:	2.7	Std:	1.3	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	3	Mode.:	3	Min:	1	Max:	5

Rate your course

30. In this course, I learned content or skills that I consider valuable



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.33 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.83 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)

Agree: 12 (50.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.33 %)

Mean:	3.3	Std:	1.2	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.5
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

31. Please rate the overall quality of this course



Very bad: 1 (4.00 %)

Bad: 4 (16.00 %)

Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)

Good: 8 (32.00 %)

Very good: 4 (16.00 %)


Mean:	3.4	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	3.6
Median:	3	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Section 1

Number of questionnaires returned: 25 (32.89%)

General questions

1. What percent of the lectures did you attend?



0%: 1 (4.17 %)


25%: 1 (4.17 %)

50%: 3 (12.50 %)

75%: 5 (20.83 %)

100%: 14 (58.33 %)

2. How many hours per week (excluding contact hours) did you, on average, spend on self-study (e.g. study of literature, working out the exercises, doing assignments, etc.) for this course?



< 4: 0 (0.00 %)


4-5: 4 (16.67 %)

6-7: 6 (25.00 %)

8-9: 8 (33.33 %)

> 10: 6 (25.00 %)

3. The lecturer(s) informed us about the workload (i.e. number of self-study hours p/week)




Yes: 12 (54.55 %)

No: 0 (0.00 %)

Unsure: 10 (45.45 %)

4. Considering the number of credits (ECTS) assigned to the course, the workload was appropriate



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (12.00 %)

Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)


Agree: 10 (40.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.00 %)

Mean:	3.3	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	3	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Connection to the programme

5. I believe I had enough prior knowledge to successfully participate in the course



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.00 %)

Agree: 16 (64.00 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Mean:	4.0	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

6. The connection of this course to the rest of the programme is clear to me



Strongly disagree: 3 (12.50 %)

Disagree: 4 (16.67 %)

Neutral: 2 (8.33 %)


Agree: 9 (37.50 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (25.00 %)

Mean:	3.5	Std:	1.4	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.9
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Course structure

7. The learning outcomes (i.e. learning goals or objectives) of the course were clearly articulated



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.00 %)

Neutral: 4 (16.00 %)


Agree: 11 (44.00 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (36.00 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
--------------	------------	-------------	-----	-----------	----	-------------------	---

Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5
---------	---	--------	---	------	---	------	---

8. Expectations for student learning were made clear



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.00 %)


Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)

Agree: 11 (44.00 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	0.8	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

9. The grading practices were clearly defined



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.83 %)

Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)


Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 5 (20.83 %)

Mean:	3.7	Std:	1.0	N:	24	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

Lecturer: M. Dumontier

10. The lecturer effectively explained course concepts



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)

Agree: 13 (56.52 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (26.09 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.7	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5

11. The lecturer has a good pace during the lectures



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)


Neutral: 5 (21.74 %)

Agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Strongly agree: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.7	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5


12. The lecturer encouraged student questions and participation



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)
 Disagree: 2 (8.70 %)
 Neutral: 6 (26.09 %)
 Agree: 7 (30.43 %)
 Strongly agree: 8 (34.78 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	2	Max:	5


13. The lecturer was helpful when I had difficulties or questions



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)
 Disagree: 2 (11.76 %)
 Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)
 Agree: 7 (41.18 %)
 Strongly agree: 5 (29.41 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

14. Please rate the overall performance of the lecturer




Very bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 6 (26.09 %)
 Good: 10 (43.48 %)
 Very good: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	4.0	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-

Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	3	Max:	5
---------	---	--------	---	------	---	------	---

Lecturer: K. Moodley

15. The lecturer effectively explained course concepts



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.35 %)


Neutral: 1 (4.35 %)

Agree: 10 (43.48 %)

Strongly agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Mean:	4.3	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	2	Max:	5

16. The lecturer has a good pace during the lectures



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (13.04 %)


Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)

Agree: 9 (39.13 %)

Strongly agree: 7 (30.43 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.0	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

17. The lecturer encouraged student questions and participation



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (4.35 %)


Neutral: 2 (8.70 %)

Agree: 11 (47.83 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (39.13 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

18. The lecturer was helpful when I had difficulties or questions



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 1 (5.00 %)


Neutral: 2 (10.00 %)

Agree: 9 (45.00 %)

Strongly agree: 8 (40.00 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	20	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

19. Please rate the overall performance of the lecturer




Very bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 5 (21.74 %)
 Good: 8 (34.78 %)
 Very good: 10 (43.48 %)

Mean:	4.2	Std:	0.8	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	3	Max:	5

Labs (or exercise sessions / tutorials / practicals) (if applicable)


20. The labs helped me to better understand the course content



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.35 %)
 Disagree: 2 (8.70 %)
 Neutral: 4 (17.39 %)
 Agree: 8 (34.78 %)
 Strongly agree: 8 (34.78 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	1.1	N:	23	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	5	Min:	1	Max:	5

21. Please rate the overall quality of the labs




Very bad: 1 (4.55 %)
 Bad: 0 (0.00 %)
 Neutral: 6 (27.27 %)
 Good: 11 (50.00 %)
 Very good: 4 (18.18 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	0.9	N:	22	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Teaching assistant(s) (if applicable)

22. Overall, the TA('s) was/were effective in fulfilling their role (e.g. teaching, answering questions, providing help and feedback)



Strongly disagree: 1 (5.88 %)

Disagree: 1 (5.88 %)


Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)

Agree: 10 (58.82 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (11.76 %)

Mean:	3.6	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

23. Please rate the overall performance of the TA('s)



Very bad: 1 (5.88 %)

Bad: 1 (5.88 %)

Neutral: 3 (17.65 %)


Good: 10 (58.82 %)

Very good: 2 (11.76 %)

Mean:	3.6	Std:	1.0	N:	17	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Assignment(s) (if applicable)

24. The assignments were helpful for my learning



Strongly disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Disagree: 2 (8.33 %)


Neutral: 2 (8.33 %)

Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 9 (37.50 %)

Mean:	4.1	Std:	0.9	N:	24	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	2	Max:	5

25. The feedback on the assignment(s) made it clear to me what the strong and weak points of my work were



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.17 %)

Disagree: 2 (8.33 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)

Agree: 14 (58.33 %)

Strongly agree: 4 (16.67 %)

Mean:	3.8	Std:	1.0	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.4
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

26. The assignment(s) were feasible within the given time frame



Strongly disagree: 1 (4.17 %)

Disagree: 0 (0.00 %)

Neutral: 6 (25.00 %)


Agree: 11 (45.83 %)

Strongly agree: 6 (25.00 %)

Mean:	3.9	Std:	0.9	N:	24	Mean 2019:	4.4
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

Final assessment

27. The exam covers the subject matter of this course



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.00 %)

Disagree: 3 (12.00 %)


Neutral: 0 (0.00 %)

Agree: 16 (64.00 %)

Strongly agree: 4 (16.00 %)

Mean:	3.7	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	4.1
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

28. The exam was/were feasible within the given time frame



Strongly disagree: 5 (20.00 %)

Disagree: 9 (36.00 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.00 %)

Agree: 7 (28.00 %)

Strongly agree: 1 (4.00 %)

Mean:	2.6	Std:	1.2	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	2	Mode.:	2	Min:	1	Max:	5

29. In general, the level of difficulty in this exam was appropriate



Strongly disagree: 6 (24.00 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.00 %)

Neutral: 7 (28.00 %)


Agree: 5 (20.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.00 %)

Mean:	2.7	Std:	1.3	N:	25	Mean 2019:	-
Median:	3	Mode.:	3	Min:	1	Max:	5

Rate your course

30. In this course, I learned content or skills that I consider valuable



Strongly disagree: 2 (8.33 %)

Disagree: 5 (20.83 %)


Neutral: 3 (12.50 %)

Agree: 12 (50.00 %)

Strongly agree: 2 (8.33 %)

Mean:	3.3	Std:	1.2	N:	24	Mean 2019:	3.5
Median:	4	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5

31. Please rate the overall quality of this course



Very bad: 1 (4.00 %)

Bad: 4 (16.00 %)

Neutral: 8 (32.00 %)

Good: 8 (32.00 %)

Very good: 4 (16.00 %)

Mean:	3.4	Std:	1.1	N:	25	Mean 2019:	3.6
Median:	3	Mode.:	4	Min:	1	Max:	5